I		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	TYLER BRESNAHAN,	
11	,	CASE NO. C16-998RAJ
12	Petitioner,	ORDER
13	v.	ORDER
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
15	Respondent.	
15 16	Respondent.	
	Respondent. This matter comes before the Court on Pet	itioner Tyler Bresnahan's Motion under
16		•
16 17	This matter comes before the Court on Pet	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal
16 17 18 19	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal
16 17 18 19 20	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc Custody. Dkt. # 1. For the reasons that follow, the set Aside is the court of the c	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal he Court DENIES Mr. Bresnahan's
16 17 18	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc Custody. Dkt. # 1. For the reasons that follow, the motion.	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal he Court DENIES Mr. Bresnahan's oner may file a motion to vacate, set
16 17 18 19 20 21	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc Custody. Dkt. # 1. For the reasons that follow, the motion. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(a), a federal prison.	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal he Court DENIES Mr. Bresnahan's oner may file a motion to vacate, set ound that the sentence was imposed in
116 117 118 119 220 21 222	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc Custody. Dkt. # 1. For the reasons that follow, the motion. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(a), a federal prison aside, or correct his or her sentence "upon the grown".	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal the Court DENIES Mr. Bresnahan's oner may file a motion to vacate, set ound that the sentence was imposed in d States, or that the court was without
116 117 118 119 20 21 22 23	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc Custody. Dkt. # 1. For the reasons that follow, the motion. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(a), a federal prison aside, or correct his or her sentence "upon the growiolation of the Constitution or laws of the United	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal the Court DENIES Mr. Bresnahan's oner may file a motion to vacate, set ound that the sentence was imposed in d States, or that the court was without entence was in excess of the maximum
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc Custody. Dkt. # 1. For the reasons that follow, the motion. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(a), a federal prison aside, or correct his or her sentence "upon the growiolation of the Constitution or laws of the United jurisdiction to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison.	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal the Court DENIES Mr. Bresnahan's oner may file a motion to vacate, set ound that the sentence was imposed in d States, or that the court was without entence was in excess of the maximum
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	This matter comes before the Court on Pet 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correc Custody. Dkt. # 1. For the reasons that follow, the motion. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(a), a federal prison aside, or correct his or her sentence "upon the growiolation of the Constitution or laws of the United jurisdiction to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison to impose such sentence, or that the sentence is a sentence is a sentence of the constitution or laws of the United purison.	t a Sentence by a Person in Federal the Court DENIES Mr. Bresnahan's oner may file a motion to vacate, set ound that the sentence was imposed in d States, or that the court was without entence was in excess of the maximum

```
1
           Under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), there is no right to appeal from a final order in a
 2
    proceeding under section 2255 unless a circuit judge issues a certificate of appealability.
 3
    28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B).
 4
           Mr. Bresnahan's motion is based upon Johnson v. United States, 135 S.Ct. 2551
 5
    (2015); Mr. Bresnahan argues that the sentencing enhancement he received is subject to
 6
    review based on Supreme Court precedent. See, generally, Dkt. # 1. To qualify for a
 7
    sentence reduction under Johnson, Mr. Bresnahan must show that he was sentenced
    under the residual clause of the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA). See Johnson, 135
    S.Ct. 2551; see also Beckles v. United States, No. 15-8544, 2017 WL 855781 (U.S. Mar.
10
    6, 2017) (finding that Johnson does not extend to those sentenced under a similarly
11
    worded clause in the Sentencing Guidelines).
12
           According to Mr. Bresnahan's Pre-Sentence Report, he was charged under 21
13
    U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C) as well as 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). CR13-179, Dkt. # 67.
14
    However, Mr. Bresnahan's firearm charge under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) was based on a drug
15
    trafficking crime, not a "crime of violence." Id.; 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The ACCA's
16
    residual clause is based on the language of the latter, and therefore Mr. Bresnahan's
17
    Johnson claim has no application in this context.
18
           Mr. Bresnahan's Pre-Sentence Report recommended that the Court sentence Mr.
19
    Bresnahan as a career criminal pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines. Dkt. # 67 at ¶ 34.
20
    However, the Supreme Court recently decided that Johnson shall not apply to the
21
    Sentencing Guidelines, and therefore Mr. Bresnahan is unable to challenge his criminal
22
    history computations based on vagueness. See Beckles, 2017 WL 855781.
23
    //
24
    //
25
    //
26
27
```

For the all the foregoing reasons, the motion is DENIED . Dkt. # 1, 8. The motion	
to seal is GRANTED . Dkt. # 7. The Court directs the Clerk to DISMISS this action.	
The Court finds that reasonable jurists would not debate the resolution of this motion.	
Accordingly, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. <i>See</i> Fed. R.	
Governing § 2255 Proceedings, Rule 11(a); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).	
Dated this 22nd day of March, 2017.	
Richard A Inex	
Richard A Jones	
The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge	